

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	CRIMINAL ACTION NO.
v.)	2:24cr429-MHT
)	(WO)
MARCUS SMITH)	

OPINION AND ORDER

This cause is before the court on another motion to continue trial filed by defendant Marcus Smith. For the reasons set forth below, the court finds that the jury trial, now set for August 11, 2025, should be continued until September 8, 2025, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(E) and (h)(7)(A).

While the granting of a continuance is left to the sound discretion of the trial judge, *see United States v. Stitzer*, 785 F.2d 1506, 1516 (11th Cir. 1986), the court is limited by the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Act provides in part:

"In any case in which a plea of not guilty is entered, the trial of a defendant charged in an information or indictment with the commission of an

offense shall commence within seventy days from the filing date (and making public) of the information or indictment, or from the date the defendant has appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which such charge is pending, whichever date last occurs."

§ 3161(c)(1). The Act excludes from the 70-day period any continuance based on "delay resulting from any proceeding relating to the transfer of a case ... under the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure," § 3161(h)(1)(E), and "findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial," § 3161(h)(7)(A). In granting a continuance under subsection (h)(7)(A), the court may consider, among other factors, whether the failure to grant the continuance "would be likely to ... result in a miscarriage of justice," § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), or "would deny counsel for the defendant or the attorney for the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective

preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence." § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

The government and defense counsel are still working together to transfer this case to the Northern District of Illinois, where defendant Smith lives. As stated in a previous order granting a continuance, "Smith was released on electronic monitoring and currently lives in Chicago, Illinois. Although his federal charge is relatively straightforward, it has been complicated by a related state charge. Defense and government counsel have attempted to reach a global resolution for both Smith's state and federal charges, but such attempts have been unsuccessful." Order (Doc. 38).

"Smith, defense counsel, and the government all agreed," as stated previously, "to transfer his case to the Northern District of Illinois. See Fed. R. of Crim. P. 20 ('A prosecution may be transferred from the

district where the indictment or information is pending ... to the district where the defendant is arrested, held, or present if the defendant states in writing a wish to plead guilty or nolo contendere and to waive trial in the district where the indictment, information, or complaint is pending, consents in writing to the court's disposing of the case in the transferee district, and files the statement in the transferee district; and the United States attorneys in both districts approve the transfer in writing.')

Order (Doc 38).

The court concludes again, "pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(E), that a continuance is warranted to allow a reasonable time for the case to be transferred to the Northern District of Illinois[;] ... that additional time is 'necessary' and 'reasonable' for Smith's 'effective preparation [of his case], taking into account the exercise of due diligence,'

§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv) [;] and that, pursuant to § 3161(h)(7)(A), the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and Smith in a speedy trial." Order (Doc. 38). Finally, the government does not oppose another continuance.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED as follows:

(1) Defendant Marcus Smith's unopposed motion to continue trial (Doc. 41) is granted.

(2) The jury selection and trial for defendant Smith, now set for August 11, 2025, are continued to September 8, 2025, at 10:00 a.m., in Courtroom 2FMJ of the Frank M. Johnson Jr. United States Courthouse Complex, One Church Street, Montgomery, Alabama.

The United States Magistrate Judge shall reset the plea deadline.

DONE, this the 4th day of August, 2025.

/s/ Myron H. Thompson
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE